Impact of Fish Diversity on the Livelihood of Fishermen in Dekar Haor under Sunamganj District of Bangladesh

M.S. Islam¹, I.N. Suravi² and M.A. Kashem³

^{1,2}Department of Coastal and Marine Fisheries, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet ³Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100

Abstract—The study was carried out to assess the present status of fish biodiversity in Dekar haor and livelihood of fishermen living around the haor under Sunamganj district over the period of 12 months. Monthly fish samples were collected from the catches of fishermen and identified based on meristic and morphometric characteristics. A total of 51 fish species belonging to 34 genera along with two prawn species under 19 families were found. Of these catfishes were most abundant. The values of Shannon-Weiner diversity (H), Margalef's richness (d), Pielou's evenness indices (J)and Simpson dominance indices (c) ranged from 3.36-3.78, 6.12-8.40, 0.98-0.99 and 0.97-0.98, respectively. Livelihood data were collected through direct interview from randomly selected 73 fishermen. Main occupation of fishermen is fishing. There were three age groups as young (18-35 years), middle (36-45 years) and old group (above 46 years), where young group was mostly involved in fishing. Most of the fishermen (72.61%) were Muslims and 63% was able to sign only followed by others. Annual income of fishermen varied from Tk. 10,000-100,000. Majority of them had low savings with poor housing and sanitation facilities. Maximum fishermen are interested to live in unit family owing to low income. Results of this study imply that fish diversity status of the haor was not satisfactory, which is alarming for the country. Also livelihood condition of fishermen was not so good. Therefore, appropriate measures should be taken to improve the livelihood of fishermen and to protect the biodiversity of the haor, and the country will be benefited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh possesses vast natural waterbodies in the form of canal, *beel*, *haors*, *baors*, lakes, floodplains, rivers and estuaries. *Haors* are located in the north-eastern region of Bangladesh. There are about 373 *haors* located in the districts of Sunamganj, Sylhet, Maulvibazar, Habiganj, Brahmanbaria, Netrokona and Kishoreganj, and covering an area of about 858,000 ha, which is around 43% of total area of the *haor* region (Master Plan of *Haor* Areas, 2012). The most prominent *haors* are Shaneer, Hail, Hakaluki, Dekar, Tanguar, Chayer, Maker and Kawadighi *haor*. *Haor* is marshy wetland ecosystem, which physically is a bowl or saucer shaped floodplain depressions that looks like inland sea in monsoon flood. In wet season, *haors* are full of water and each of settlements looks like an isolated island in a vast waterbody

but in dry season, these are dried up except deep *beels*. During winter, *haors* contain little water and are restricted to a small area, and a large area is filled with paddy and other crops. These natural depressed seasonal-perennial waterbodies are directly/indirectly connected with canal and river and other *haor*. These are naturally rich in fisheries resources. Waterbodies of *haors* are productive and natural habitats of diversified fauna and flora. These are also famous for natural fish production.

Haors play an important role to develop the fish diversity and maintained the livelihood condition of fishermen. Livelihood status of the *haor* dwelling fishermen mostly depends on the fisheries and other natural resources in the *haor*. On the other hand, fish diversity is also somewhat dependent on livelihood of fishermen. So fishing group is an important community to enrich the fish diversity and economics of Bangladesh. But most of the fishermen are poor and are deprived of many amenities of life. All time they have to struggle to survive. Livelihood condition of fishermen is not satisfactory at all because they do not get free access to the waterbody for catching fish in all seasons.

Dekar *haor* is one of the largest and important *haor* in greater Sylhet, Netrakona, Kishoreganj and Brahmanbaria districts. The area of this haor is around 252 km². Different sizes of beels are present in the haor. All beels are submerged during rainy season. Rivers and haors are directly jointed with each other during this period. Fishes are grazed in the whole haor. They enjoy more space and more different types of feed without competition to each other. Growth of fishes increases with passing of time. Small indigenous species and short cycled fishes become marketable size within few months. Fishes of the *haor* are caught using various types of gear by fishermen round the year for accomplishing the expenditure of their family. So this haor has a great influence on fish diversity and livelihood of fishermen. But despite its innumerable importance, research has not vet been carried out on fish diversity as well as livelihood of fishermen of the haor. In view of the above facts, the study was undertaken to

assess the fish diversity and livelihood of fishermen living around the *haor*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the haor and selection of study area: Dekar haor is one of the most important and the largest haor in Bangladesh. It lies between latitude $24^{\circ}34$ N to $25^{\circ}12$ N and longitude $90^{\circ}56$ E to $91^{\circ}49$ E. The haor covers four upazilas namely Sunamganj Sadar, Dakshin Sunamganj, Dowarabazar and Chhatak under Sunamganj district (Fig.1). It is located 60 km west away from Sylhet town, which is closed to Sunamganj town. The haor is consisted of 36 small, medium and large interconnecting beels, canals, rivers and crop lands. This open waterbody was selected as a study area.

Data collection: The data were collected for 12 months during September 2015 to August 2016. During data collection, both primary and secondary sources were considered to interpret the results. For fish diversity assessment of the *haor*, monthly fish samples were collected directly from the catches of fishermen for identification of the species. Some samples were identified up to species on the spot and recorded the number of specimen and weighted species wise. Some samples were identified and recorded up to genus or species level following the manual and books of DoF (2014), Rahman (2005), Shafi and Quddus (2001) and Talwar and Jhingran (1991).

Livelihood information data were collected based on field survey method from fishermen and others 4 different villages namely Noyagoan, Sultanpur, Robbaninagor, Sadarpur surrounding the *haor* under Dakshin Sunamganj *upazila*. Fishermen were randomly selected from both professional and subsistence fishermen groups living around the study area. Livelihood data were collected from 73 randomly selected fishermen and others 17 through questionnaires interviews, focus group discussion, market visit, etc where leaders of the fisher community, fish market leaders, fish traders, fry traders, local leaders, school teachers and community people were also present. Secondary data were collected from Dakshin Sunamganj *Upazila* Fisheries Office, District Fisheries Office of Sunamganj district, books, journals, reports and NGOs.

Fish diversity data analysis: Diversity of species assemblage was analyzed by Shannon-Weiner index (H') (Shannon 1949; Shannon and Weiner 1963 and Ramos *et al.* 2006), species richness was measured by Margalef index (d) (Margalef 1968), evenness was estimated by Pielou's index (J') (Pielou 1966) and dominance was determined by Simpson index (c). Values of Shannon-Weiner diversity index and Margalef richness index, Pielou's evenness index and Simpson dominance index were calculated using the following formula :

Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H'): $\sum_{i=1}^{s} [Pi \times \log (Pi)]$

Where, H' = Shannon-Weiner index

ni = No. of individuals of a species

N = Total number of individual fish

S = Total number of fish species

Margalef species richness (d): (S-1)/log (N)

Where, S = Total fish species

N = Total individual fish

Pielou's evenness index (J'): H(s)/H(max)

Where, H(s) = the Shannon-Weiner information function.

H (max.) = The theoretical maximum value for H(s) if all species in the sample were equally abundant.

Simpson dominance index (c): $\sum_{i=1}^{s} (ni/N)^{2}$

Where, ni = number of individuals in the 'each' species

N = Total number of individual fish

S = Total number of fish species

Socioeconomic and livelihood data analysis: All collected socioeconomic and livelihood data were calculated using the following formula :

 $\sum X_{i}$ Mean, X = -----

Ν

Where, $\sum X_i = Sum of all of the numbers in a list$

N = Total number of items in that list

Range: It is the difference of the higher and lower observation of the distribution.

Percentage: It is the rate/number/amount in each hundred.

Statistical analysis: A one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significant difference in Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H'), Pielou's evenness index (J') and fish abundance among months. All multivariate analyses were performed by software PRIMER V6 (Plymouth Routines Multivariate Ecological Research) (Clark and Warwick 1994). All socioeconomic and livelihood data were stored and processed through computer software and analyzed using Microsoft Excel and STATISTICA software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fish diversity of Dekar haor: A large number of freshwater fish species are still available in this largest freshwater wetland. During the study period 51 species of fish of which 8 species of carps, 4 snakehead, 8 perches, 3 eels, 11 catfishes, 6 barbs, 1 minnows, 2 clupeids and 8 other fishes including prawn namelv Macrobrachium rosenbergii and Macrobrachium malcolmsonii under 19 families were recorded (Table 1). It was found that 23 fish species were abundant, 10 common and 18 rare out of 51 fish species (according to IUCN, 2015). Of 51 species, 47 were indigenous and the rest four exotics species. Among exotics, carpio (Cyprinus carpio) and grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) were dominant in the haor. Pandit et al. (2015) reported a total of 56 fish species including prawn species belongs to 21 families from the haor Soma Nadi Jalmohal of Derai upazila, Sunamganj. Among 56 species, 26 were commonly available (47%), 18 moderately available (32%) and 12 rarely available (21%). Out of 56 species, 8 were carps, 12 catfish, 9 barbs and minnows, 4 snakehead, 4 eel, 10 perches, 3 loaches and 6 miscellaneous including 3 prawn species. Sayeed et al. (2015) stated that a total of 82 fish species belongs to 50 genera of 22 families under 9 orders were observed, of which 75 were indigenous and 7 exotic in Hakaluki haor.

Fishermen in this *haor* were classified according to their fishing gear used. Nine types of gear were considered during the experimental period (Table 2). Most of the fishermen (31.51 and 16.4%) used gill net and seine net, and only 2.74% used fish trap-b (*gui*) and c (*polo*), respectively for catching fish. Fisheries resources are now under great threat due to man-made obstacles (fishing by dewatering of *beels*, use of gill net, harvesting of undersized fishes and brood fishes, use of insecticides, embankment, exploitation of aquatic vegetation, etc) and various environmental degradations (siltation of the *beels*, lack of water around the year, lack of natural food for fishes, water quality degradation, climate/seasonal changes, etc). For these reasons, fish diversity of the *haor* has been reducing day by day.

Fish species diversity indices

Shannon-Weiner diversity index (H'): The highest (3.3556) Shannon-Weiner index was recorded in February and the lowest (3.7799) in September. Kanon (2014) reported the highest value (3.12) in June and the lowest (2.9) in January of Shannon-Weiner index of Konoskhai *haor*, Sunamganj, which was lower than the findings of the present study.

Margalef richness index (d): The lowest (6.1185) and the highest (8.4023) values of Margalef index were recorded in February and September, respectively. Kanon (2014) reported the Margalef's index ranging from 2.7 (December) to 3.02 (July) in Konoskhai *haor*, which was less than the findings of this study.

Pielou's evenness index (J'): The highest evenness value (0.9965) was observed in February and the lowest (0.9885) in November. Alam *et al.* (2015) recorded Pielou's index as 0.67, 0.59 and 0.67, respectively in three *beels* (Patasinghra,

Shalkatua and Hawagulia of Kawadighi *haor*), Moulvibazar, which were lower than the present findings.

Simpson dominance index (c): The uppermost dominance value (0.9819) was determined in June and the lowest (0.9747) in February. Kanon (2014) demonstrated Simpson index ranging from 0.91 (January) to 0.94 (June) in Konoskhai haor, which was coincided with the findings of the present study. It is noted that water area of the haor is gradually/drastically increased after first/mid/last April in a year due to heavy shower and upstream run-off/flash flood. Fishes are dispersed in the whole haor. Fishermen freely catch fishes from the nonrestricted water using different types of gear for their livelihood. Fishermen catch gradually increase with the increases of time up to a certain period, while water of the haor gradually recedes, comparatively a good amount of fishes are caught by fishermen. Peak season of fishing is started from June/July and continue up to October/November in a year. After that water is limited to certain areas and fishermen are not allowed for catching fish from restricted/leased waterbodies. For these causes, monthly catch by fishermen varied with season and water availability.

Livelihood status of fishermen

Age structure: Age structure of fishermen was divided into three age groups such as young (18-35 years), middle (36-45 years) and old age (above 46 years). It was observed that young group was the highest (59%) and old was the lowest (19%) among all gear users. Within fishing gears, young group was the highest (100%) in trap-c (*polo*) users and in the middle group the highest percentage (66.67%) was observed for push net whereas the highest value (50%) was estimated for old group in case of trap-b (*gui*) users. Rabbani (2007) reported that age group of 25-50 years was the highest (46.67%) and more than 50 years were the lowest (25%) of fishermen in the Karatua river, Bogra. Roy (2010) stated that young group was the highest (42%) and old group was the lowest (34%) among all gear users, these were consistent with the findings of the present study.

Family size: About 48% fishermen had medium family, 31% small and 21% large. Within the fishermen according to gear types, the highest percentage (100%) of medium family belonged to cast net, push net and trap-c (*polo*) users, and the lowest of small family was recorded in long line users. The highest value (50%) of large family was observed in trap-b (*gui*) users. Roy (2010) reported the largest value (83.33%) of medium family belongs to trap-b (*gui*) users and the lowest of large family among all gear users.

Religion: Sampled fishermen were distributed as 72.61% the Muslims and 27.39% the Sonatans, respectively in the *haor*, which was in agreement with the findings of Mahmud (2007) and Roy (2010), who stated that the highest 74 and 71% fishermen were the Muslim whereas only 26 and 29% the Hindus (Sonatans). Himu (2014) mentioned that majority (95.14%) of fishermen was the Muslims and minority (4.85%)

the Hindus in study area of Hakaluki *haor*, which was higher than the findings of the present study.

Educational status: Sixty three percent (63%) fishermen had ability to sign, 26% no education and 7% primary. On the other hand, only 3% and 1% fishermen had JSC (Junior School Certificate) and SSC (Secondary School Certificate). Rabbani (2007) reported 20% riverine fishermen illiterate, 71.67% primary and only 8.33% secondary level. It might be due to the majority of the fishermen had no education. Roy (2010) stated that 73% fishermen of Pagnar *haor* in Sunamganj had no education, 21% primary and only 6% secondary. Most of sampled fishermen were compelled to engage in fishing profession at their early stage due to poor economic condition of their parents and lack of awareness about education.

Annual income: A 51% fishermen had moderate income and 11% low income whereas 38% high income. Annual income varied within different types of gear used by fishermen (Fig. 2). Majority of the cast net (100%) and gill net (82.61%) users had moderate income and most of the push net and multiple gear users (66.66%) were fell in low income group. Maximum multiple gear users (73.34%) had high income. Fishermen opine that their income depend on the availability of fishes in the haor. The quantity of fishes in the haor has been decreasing in every year owing to natural and man-made causes. On the whole life, fishermen are at risk and they have no refreshment and no different taste of life. So many fishermen are switching over fishing profession to other activities to enjoy better life. Roy (2010) stated that the highest (7.14%) annual income was in long line fishermen under high annual income category. Comparatively maximum income (85.72%) was recorded in long line users under moderate income and the highest (50%) income was found in trap-b (gui) users under low income. He also concluded that long line fishermen earned comparatively higher income than other two categories. Holder (2002) reported annual income from fishing of all gear users as Tk. 89,199.96 and 96,199.92 in Doba beel and Chara beel, respectively. Himu (2014) observed that only 21.37% fishermen continued their livelihood generation through fishing. Majority (78.63%) of them took other occupations due to low income from fishing. He concluded that livelihood of fishermen were not satisfactory.

Savings: Savings of haor fishermen are presented in Fig. 3. About 38% respondents had medium savings, 41% low, 11% no savings and 10% high savings. Within fishing gear categories, majority (75%) of trap-a (*chai*) users had medium savings and 66.67% push net users had no savings at all, whereas about 50% trap-b (*gui*) users had high savings. It was also found that maximum of trap-b (*gui*) and multiple gear users were saved from their income. Roy (2010) reported that low savings group was the highest (55%) and high savings group was the lowest among all gear users. He also added that within fishing gear users no savings group was the highest

(35.72%) in cast net and long line users, low savings group was the highest (83.33%) in trap-b (*gui*) users and medium savings group (46.66\%) was in gill net users.

Housing and health condition: There were two types of house in the study area namely thatched house and half building (brick walls with tin roof). Data of the present study revealed that 83.56% fishermen had thatched houses and 16.44% half building. Ahmed (2002) found that 62% fishermen had thatched houses in Mymensingh. Roy (2010) observed that majority of fishermen (83%) had thatched house and 17% half building in the Pagnar haor, Sunamganj. Himu (2014) noted that about 66.66% fishermen had thatched house, while 26.66% half building and only 6.66% building surrounding the Hakaluki haor. Health facilities of fishermen in studied area were very poor and found that 54.79% fishermen households dependent on village doctors who do not have any knowledge regarding medical science, 23.28 and 19.18% on quack doctor and municipal hospital, respectively while only 2.74% received health service from MBBS doctor. Roy (2010) mentioned 85% fishermen in Pagnar haor, Sunamganj dependent on village doctors and 15% received health service from upazila hospital. Alam (2006) reported only 42% farmers received the medical facilities from MBBS doctor and upazila health complex while the rest 58% dependent on village doctor and others in Mithapuqur upazila, Rangpur.

Sanitation facilities: Sanitation facilities of fishermen living around the *haor* were not good. Data showed that 79.45% had open toilets surrounded by temporary fencing while 20.55% sanitary. Alam (2006) reported that only 24% had good sanitation. Roy (2010) observed that 40% had open toilets, only 8% sanitary and 52% had no toilets. Himu (2014) found that most the of fishermen had the worth toilet facilities, 58.33% had open toilet, whereas 3.66% no toilet facility.

Land area: Majority (49%) of fishermen had small land whereas only 14% large. On the other hand, 37% respondents had medium land. According to gear types the largest value (66.66%) of small land holder in lift net users and in case of long line users about 40% had large whereas 66.66% push net users had medium land.

Family type: There were two types of family in the study area such as joint family and unit family. Approximate 16.44% fishermen lived in joint family and 83.56% in unit family. Roy (2010) noted that 56% fishermen lived in joint family and 44% in nuclear (small) family. Himu (2014) observed that most of fishermen had nuclear family (90%) while few (10%) joint family, which was coincided with the findings of the present study. Joint/large family is splitting owing to majority respondents are interested to live separately due to lack of income.

Results of the study indicate that indiscriminate fishing activities using different types of gears by fishermen are caused great loss of all varieties of fishes and the status of fish bio-diversity is now moderate to poor. Most of the fishermen's

Scientific name

Chela phulo

Remarks

(IUCN,

2015)

Rare

income is much lower than the national period acome	Batashi	River catfish	Pseudeutropius atherinoides
Fishermen's savings are very poor Their livelihood condition	Bashpata	Gangetic ailia	Ailia coila
Fishermen's savings are very poor Their livelihood condition is not good. Majority of the fishers are changing their livelihood as fish diversity and fish production of the <i>naor</i> are	Bacha	River catfish	Eutropiichthys vacha
decreasing in every year due to mail and and intuidacauses	Modhu pabda	Butter catfish	Ompok pabda
Government and other organizations4should contractions4should cont		Freshwater shark	Wallago attu

S1.

35.

No Family name

Cyprinidae

Table 1. Cont'd.....

Local

name

Phul

chela

English

name

Barb

taking urgent actions to protect the biodiversity of the haor, which will help to improve fishermen's livelihood and fish diversity will be saved.

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are deeply indebted to the Krishi Gobeshona Foundation (KGF) for providing fund to conduct the research.

	Table 1: List of indigenous and exotic fishes recorded in				Cyprinidae		Lamba chela	Barb	Chela bacaila	Rare
	Dekar haor during study period.					rinidae	Mola	Barb	Amblypharyngod on mola	Commo n
				38.	Сур	rinidaner	Bhela	Barb	Rohtee cotio	Rare
	Local name	English name	Scientific name	39.	Суг		1,2015)	Spot fin swamp	Puntius sophore	Abunda nt
	Rui	Indian major carp	Labeo rohita				are	barb Fire fin		Abunda
	Catla	Indian major carp	Jubilant catla	40.	Cyp	rinidaCor	·	barb	Puntius ticto	nt
	Kali baush	Black rohu	Labeo calbasu	41.	Cur		ndant Darkina	Тор	Esomus	Rare
	Mrigal	Indian major carp	Cirrhinus cirrhosus	41.	Сур	Findae F	Darkina are	minnow	dandricus	
	Gonia	Kuria labio	Labeo gonius	42.	Clu	_{peida} Abu		Indian river shad	Gudusia chapra	Abunda nt
	Silver carp	Silver carp	Hypophthalmychthys molitrix	10	~	Cor ^{peidae} Cor	nmon	Indian	Gudusia 1 minminna	Abunda nt
	Grass carp	Grass carp	Ctenopharyngodon idella	43.	Clu		nmon	river shad		
	Carpio	Common carp	Cyprinus carpio	44	Pal	Abu	ndant Kakila Indant	Freshwat er gar fish	Xenentodon cancila	Abunda nt
	Shol	Snakehead murrel	Channa striatus		Der	Abu				
	Taki	Spotted snakehead	Channa punctatus	45.	Coh	_{itidae} Abu	ndant	Guntea	Lepidocephalus	Rare
	Chang	Asiatic snakehead	Channa orientalis			R	are Baila/bel	loach	guntea Glossogobius	Commo
	Gajar	Giant snakehead	Channa marulius	46.	Gob	^{iidae} R	are	Bar-eyed goby	giuris	n
	Khalisha	Striped gourami	Colisa fasciatus	48	Note	Rare opteridae emonidaRare Golda Common	are Chitol are	Humped feather back River prawn	Notopterus chitala Macrobrachium rosenbergii	Rare Rare
	Chuna khalisha	Honey gourami	Colisa chuna							
	Lal khalisha	Red gourami	Colisa lalia		Pala					
	Koi	Climbing perch	Anabus testudineus		e		nmon			
е	Kata chanda	Round glass perchlet	Chanda baculis	49.	Pala e	emon Ada		Monsoon river prawn	Macrobrachium malcolmsonii	Abunda nt
	Lal chanda	Indian glass perch	Chanda ranga							
	Nama chanda	Elongated glass perchlet	Chanda nama	50 .	Tetr	aodontab	undant uiseant	Oscillate d puffer fish	Tetraodon cutcutia	Abunda nt
	Meni	Mud Perch	Nandus nandus		ae					
ae	Guchi baim	Striped spiny eel	Mastacembelus pancalus	51	Cia	Abu	ndant	Tilapia	Oreochromis	Rare
ae	Tara baim	One striped spiny eel	Macrognathus aculeatus	51.	CR	Abu	ndant	паріа	mossambicus	Kale
ae	Lal baim	Tire-track spiny eel	Mastacembelus armatus Mystus gulio Table 2			Abu	ndant .			
	Gulsha	Long whiskered catfish				: Fishing Cor	gears used nmon	in Dekar I	naor during study j	period.
	Bujuri	Long bled catfish	Mystus tengra				ndant			
	Tengra	Striped dwarf catfish	Mystus vittatus			Abu	ndant			
	Air	Long whiskered catfish	Sperata aor			R	are			
	Magur	Walking catfish	Clarius batrachus			Abu	ndant			
stidae	Shing	Stinging catfish	Heteropneustes fossilis				ndant			
	, v		*			1				



Fig. 1: Map of Dakshin Sunamganj upazila showing the study area.



Annual income based on gear type

Fig. 2: Annual income of fishermen of Dekar haor.



Fishermen's savings based on gear type

Fig. 3: Savings status of fishermen of Dekar haor.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, N. 2002. Socio-economic aspects of freshwater prawn culture development in Mymensingh. Bangladesh. A report prepared for ICLARM, Dhaka. 25 p.
- [2] Alam, M. J. 2006. Status of fish farming and livelihood of the fish farmers in Mithapukur, Rangpur. M. S. Thesis (unpublished), Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh. 81 p.
- [3] Alam, M. T., M. A. H. M. Kamal, M. A. Sayeed and M. A. Kabir. 2015. Impact of Aquaculture on Fish Biodiversity in Kawadighi*Haor* of North- East Region of Bangladesh. SAU Res. Prog. Rep. No. 02, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet, Bangladesh, pp. 51-56.
- [4] Clarke and Warwick, 1994. Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis & Interpretation. Natural Environment Research Council, UK, 144 p.
- [5] DoF (Department of Fisheries). 2014. Freshwater Fishes of Bangladesh. Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh. 213 p.
- [6] Himu, M. H. 2014. Impact of fish biodiversity on fishers livelihood of Hakaluki *haor* in Bangladesh. M. S. Thesis (unpublished), Department of Fisheries Technology and Quality Control, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet. 60 p.
- [7] Holder, D. K. 2002. Studies on the availability of small indigenous species (SIS) of fishes and socioeconomic condition of fishers in two beels of rice fields. M. S. Thesis (unpublished), Department of Fisheries Management, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. 136 p.
- [8] IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) Bangladesh. 2015. Red List of Bangladesh, Vol. 5: Freshwater Fishes, Bangladesh Country Office, Dhaka, Bangladesh. pp. xvi+360p.
- [9] Kanon, M. H. 2014. Diversiity of Indigenous Fish Species in Konoskhai *Haor*. M.S. Thesis (unpublished) submitted to the Department of Fish Biology and Genetics, Sylhet Agricultural University (SAU), Sylhet. 49 p.
- [10] Mahmud, T. A. 2007. Fish biodiversity and socio-economic conditions of the fishing community in some selected areas of Chalan *beel*. M. S. Thesis (unpublished) submitted to the Department of Aquaculture, BAU, Mymensingh. pp. 27-53.
- [11] Margalef, R. 1968. Perspectives in Ecological Theory. Chicago Illinois University. Chicago Press. 111 p.
- [12] Master Plan of Haor Areas. 2012. Draft Final Report, Vol. II, Ministry of Water Resources, Bangladesh *Haor* and Wetland Development Board, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, pp. 1-18.
- [13] Pandit, D., M. Kunda, M. J. Islam, M. A. Islam and P. P. Barman. 2015. Assessment of present status of fish diversity in Soma Nadi*Jalmohal* of Sonamganj in Bangladesh. J. Sylhet Agril. Univ., 2 (1): 127-135.
- [14] Pielou. 1966. The measurement of Diversity in Different Types of Biological Collections. *Theort. Biology*, **13**:131-144.
- [15] Rabbani, M. G. 2007. Study on the fisheries and socio-economic condition of fishermen of Karatua river. M. S. Thesis (unpublished), Department of Fisheries Management, BAU, Mymensingh. 85 p.
- [16] Rahman, A. K. M. 2005. Freshwater Fishes of Bangladesh. 2nd ed. Zoo. Soc. of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh. 394 p.

- [17] Ramos, S., R. K. Cowen, P. Re´ and A. A. Bordalo. 2006. Temporal and patial distribution of larval fish assemblages in the Lima estuary (Portugal). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 66: 303-314.
- [18] Roy, K. C. 2010. Fish biodiversity and the livelihoods of the fishing community in Pagnarhaor under Jamalgonjupzila in Sunamgonj district. M.S. Thesis (unpublished), Department of Aquaculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.
- [19] Sayeed, M. A., R. C. Deb, D. Bhattarcharjee, M. H. Himuand and M. T. Alam. 2015. Fish biodiversity of Hakaluki*haor* in north-east region of Bangladesh. SAU Res. Prog. Rep. No. 02, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet, Bangladesh, pp. 71-78.
- [20] Shafi, M. and M. M. A. Quddus. 2001. *Bangladesher Matshaw Sampad (Bangla)*. Kabir Publications, Dhaka. 485 p.
- [21] Shannon, C. E. 1949. Communities in the presence of noise proceeding of the Institute of Radio Engineers, 37 (1): 10-21.
- [22] Shannon, C. E. and W. Weiner. 1963. The mathematical Theory of communications. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, II, 125 p.
- [23] Talwar, P. K. and A. G. Jhingran. 1991. Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries. Volume 1 and 2. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, Calcutta. 1158 p.